

# Phenylpiperazine Derivatives with Strong Affinity for $5HT_{1A}$ , $D_{2A}$ and $D_3$ Receptors

Carmen Terán, a Lourdes Santana, b\* Eugenio Uriarte, b Yagamare Fall, b Lena Unelius and Bo-Ragnar Tolfc

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physical Chemistry and Organic Chemistry, University of Vigo, 36200 Vigo, Spain.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Santiago, 15706, Santiago, Spain.

<sup>c</sup>Astra Arcus AB, Preclinical Research & Development, S-151 85 Södertälje, Sweden.

Received 7 August 1998; accepted 27 October 1998

**Abstract:** Four 7-[3-(4-phenyl-1-piperazinyl)propoxy]coumarins were synthesized. The affinities of these compounds for DA (D<sub>2A</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>) and 5HT<sub>1A</sub> receptors were evaluated for their ability to displace [ $^3$ H]-raclopride and [ $^3$ H]-8-OH-DPAT respectively from their specific binding sites. The affinities of the target compounds were all in the nanomolar range and followed the order 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> > D<sub>2</sub> > D<sub>3</sub>. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Dopamine (DA) and serotonin (5-HT) receptors are implicated in various psychiatric and neurological disorders, including anxiety, schizophrenia and Parkinson's disease. Most "typical" antipsychotic drugs produce their pharmacological effects through blockade of postsynaptic D<sub>2</sub> receptors in the limbic system. However, the clinical utility of existing antipsychotics is limited by extrapyramidal side-effects due to concomitant blockade of D<sub>2</sub> receptors in the striatum.<sup>1</sup> This has spurred research aimed at characterizing presynaptic D<sub>2</sub> receptors (autoreceptors), the activation of which can modulate cerebral dopaminergic activity by regulating dopamine neurotransmission,<sup>2</sup> and the more recently identified D<sub>3</sub> receptors (present predominantly in the limbic system), for which many antipsychotics are also known to have strong affinity.<sup>3</sup> Suitably selective D<sub>2A</sub> agonists<sup>4</sup> and D<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists<sup>5</sup> may present fewer side-effects than typical antipsychotics.

Research hitherto has shown that the N-arylpiperazine fragment is important for CNS-activity, especially dopaminergic and serotonergic activity. Thus, compounds with a simple arylpiperazine moiety can modulate

\*Fax: +34 981 594912; e-mail: qolsant@usc.es

0960-894X/98/\$ - see front matter © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0960-894X(98)00646-5 5-HT<sub>1</sub> receptors,<sup>6</sup> while slight modification of this moiety affords compounds selective for 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptors.<sup>7</sup> It has also been found that linking a carbo- or heterocycle to the piperazine moiety by a lipophilic chain, as in NAN-190 (I) or the anxiolytic buspirone, can afford drugs that modulate both 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> receptors.<sup>8</sup> Structurally related compounds have also shown high affinity for D<sub>2A</sub> autoreceptors - OPC-4392 (II)<sup>9</sup>, PD-118717 (III),<sup>10</sup> PD-119819 (IV)<sup>11</sup> - or for D<sub>3</sub> receptors (compound V).<sup>12</sup>

In this work, our aim was to gather further data about the structural factors determining serotonergic and/or dopaminergic affinity and selectivity. To this end we prepared compounds **1a-d** (Scheme 1), which comprise a phenylpiperazine linked by a propoxy chain to a coumarin nucleus.

## Chemistry

7-[3-(4-Phenyl-1-piperazinyl)propoxy]coumarins **1a-d** were prepared as shown in Scheme 1. The required 7-hydroxycoumarins **2a** and **2b** were commercial compounds, **2c** was prepared in 38% yield by Perkin reaction of 2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde and ethyl propionate, and **2d** was prepared in 32% yield by Pechmann reaction of resorcinol with ethyl 2-methylacetoacetate. <sup>13</sup> 1-(3-Chloropropyl)-4-phenylpiperazine **3** was prepared in 80% yield by alkylation of N-phenylpiperazine with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane. <sup>5a</sup> Coupling of 7-hydroxy coumarins **2a-d** with **3** was carried out in dry dimethylformamide, and involved *in situ* conversion of the former to the corresponding sodium salt by reaction with NaH, <sup>14</sup> which afforded compounds **1a-d** in 43-65% yield. <sup>15</sup>

(i) NaOH, CH3COCH3, room temperature, 68 h; (ii) NaH, DMF, 100°C, 5h.

## Pharmacology

Compounds 1a-d were converted to their water-soluble hydrochloride salts for use in the assays.

5-HT<sub>1A</sub> Receptor binding assays were performed as described previously<sup>16</sup> using tissue from rat hippocampus membranes and, as radioligand, [<sup>3</sup>H]-8-OH-DPAT.

 $D_{2A}$  and  $D_3$  Receptor binding assays were performed in mammalian cells following previously described protocols, <sup>17</sup> in both cases with [ $^3$ H]-raclopride as radioligand.  $D_{2A}$  assays used homogenated mouse fibroblast (LTK $^-$ ) cells transfected with human  $D_{2A}$  receptors, while  $D_3$  assays used Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells transfected with human  $D_3$  receptor.

### Results and Discussion

From the binding data, the phenylpiperazines 1a-d showed a mixed pharmacological profile, binding strongly to 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>, D<sub>2A</sub> and D<sub>3</sub> receptors. All the compounds had receptor binding affinities in the nanomolar range, presented as Ki values in Table 1.

Compound 1c ( $K_i = 0.79$  nM) showed the strongest affinity for 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptors - stronger than both the reference compound 8-OH-DPAT<sup>18</sup> and NAN-190<sup>19</sup> (1.3 and 1.26 nM, respectively) - and also showed moderate selectivity for this receptor over the D<sub>2A</sub> and D<sub>3</sub> subtypes ( $K_i = 10.8$  and 18.9 nM, respectively).

The 3,4-dimethyl compound, 1d, showed the strongest affinity for  $D_{2A}$  receptors, for which it was selective over  $D_3$  but not 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptors. By contrast, the affinity of the phenylpiperazines for  $D_3$  receptors was somewhat lower than for the other receptors, compound 1c showing the strongest binding affinity for this receptor.

These results confirm the importance of the N-arylpiperazine fragment in the modulation of dopaminergic and serotonergic activity. Substitution at  $N^4$  of this fragment with a propoxycoumarin moiety afforded compounds that bind to 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>, D<sub>2A</sub> and D<sub>3</sub> receptors with affinities comparable to, or in some cases stronger than, those of the  $N^4$ -substituted- $N^1$ -arylpiperazines I-V.

The effects of introducing methyl groups at positions 3 and/or 4 of the coumarin nucleus were as follows: the 3-methyl compound (1c) had six-seven times greater affinity for 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptors, and 2 - 4 times greater affinity for D<sub>3</sub> receptors, than the unsubstituted, 4-methyl and 3,4-dimethyl compounds; by contrast, the 4-methyl compound (1b) had much lower affinity for D<sub>3</sub> receptors, instead presenting an interesting 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>/D<sub>2A</sub> mixed profile.

Compound	5-HT <sub>1A</sub>	n	$D_{2A}$	n	D <sub>3</sub>	n
1a	5.61 ± 0.07	2	16.0 ± 1.7	4	49.7 ± 14.0	3
1 b	$5.54 \pm 0.02$	2	$13.7 \pm 2.6$	4	$73.8 \pm 9.9$	3
1 c	$0.79 \pm 0.08$	2	$10.8 \pm 2.2$	4	$18.9 \pm 6.0$	2
1 d	$5.31 \pm 0.35$	2	$5.92 \pm 0.5$	4	44.7 ± 15.0	2

Table 1. Receptor binding affinity Ki ± SEM (nM), for compounds 1a-d

**Acknowledgment.** We thak Susanne Rosqvist and Gun Thorell Svantesson for technical assistance in the receptor binding studies, and Isabel Loza for helpful discussions.

#### References and Notes

- 1. Baldessarini, R. J.; Tarsy, D. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 1980, 3, 23.
- 2. Roth, R. H. Annals N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1984, 430, 7.
- 3. Sokoloff, P.; Giros, B.; Martres, M. P.; Bouthenet, M. L.; Schuartz, J. C. Nature, 1990, 347, 146.
- (a) Jaen, J. C.; Wise, L. D.; Heffner, T. G.; Pugsley, T. A.; Meltzer, L. T. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 1621.
   (b) Jaen, J. C.; Caprathe, B. W.; Wise, L. D.; Meltzer, L. T.; Pugsley, T. A.; Heffner, T. G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Letters, 1993, 3, 639.
- (a) Murray, P. J.; Harrison, L. A.; Johnson, M. R.; Robertson, G. M.; Scopes, D. I. C.; Bull, D. R.; Grahan, E. A.; Hayes, A. G.; Kilpatrick, G. J.; Daas, I. D.; Large, C.; Sheehan, M. J.; Stubbs, C. M.; Turpin, M. P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Letters, 1995, 5, 219. (b) Glase, S. A.; Akunne, H. C.; Heffner, T. G.; Johnson, S. J.; Kesten, S. R.; Mac Kenzie, R. G.; Manley, P. J.; Pugsley, T. A.; Wright J. L.; Wise, L. D. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Letters, 1996, 6, 1361.
- 6. Glenon, R. A. Drug Dev. Res. 1992, 26, 251.
- 7. Steen, B. J.; van Wijngaarden, I.; Tulp, M. Th. M.; Soudijn, W. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 2751.

- 8. (a) Perrone, R.; Berardi, F.; Colabufo, N. A.; Tortorella, V.; Fiorentini, F.; Olgiati, V.; Vanotti, E.; Govoni, S. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 99. (b) Kuipers, W.; Kruse, C. G.; van Wijngaarden, I.; Standaar, P. J.; Tulp, M. Th. M.; Veldman, N.; Spek A. L.; IJzerman, P. J. Med. Chem. 1997, 40, 300.
- 9. Clark, D.; Hjorth, S.; Carlsson, A. J. Neural Transmission, 1985, 62, 1.
- (a) Pugsley, T. A.; Christofferson, C. L.; Corbin, A.; DeWald, H. A.; Demattos, S.; Meltzer, L. T.; Myers, S. L.; Shih, Y. H.; Whetzel, S. Z.; Wiley, J. N.; Wise, L. D.; Heffner, T. G. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1992, 263, 1147. (b) DeWald, H. A.; Wise, L. D.; Heffner, T. G. Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 175,541, 1986; Chem. Abstr. 1986, 105: 133752e.
- 11. Jaen, J. C.; Wise, L. D.; Heffner, T. G.; Pugsley, T. A.; Meltzer, L. T. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 248.
- 12. Wright, J.; Heffner, T.; Pugsley, T.; MacKenzie, R.; Wise, L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Letters, 1995, 5, 2547.
- 13. Antonello, C.; Zagotto, G.; Mobilio, S.; Marzano, C.; Gia, O.; Uriarte, E. Il Farmaco, 1994, 49, 277.
- 14. Buckle, D. R.; Outred, D. J.; Smith, H; Spicer, B. A. J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 1452.
- 15. 7-[3-(4-phenyl-1-piperazinyl)propoxy]coumarin (1a). 55% yield; mp 106-8 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.63 (d. 1H, H-4, J = 9.50), 7.38 (d. 1H, H-5, J = 8.32), 7.27 (m, 2H, m-), 6.98-6.78 (m, 5H, o-, p-, H-6, H-8), 6.25 (d, 1H, H-3, J = 9.50), 4.13 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>O, J = 6.00), 3.36 (m, 4H, N(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.86 (m, 6H, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.21 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); IR 2814, 1726, 1609, 1495, 1228, 1045. Rf 0.33 (ethyl acetate-hexane 3:2). Anal. (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·HCl·2H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N. 4-Methyl-7-[3-(4-phenyl-1-piperazinyl)propoxy]coumarin (1b). 65% yield; mp 123-5 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  7.49 (d, 1H, H-5, J = 8.70), 7.27 (m, 2H, m-), 6.98-6.81 (m, 5H, o-, p-, H-6, H-8), 6.14 (d, 1H, H-3, J = 1.15), 4.12 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>O, J = 6.25), 3.25 (m, 4H, N(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.67 (m, 6H,  $(CH_2)_2NCH_2$ , 2.40 (d, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>, J = 1.15), 2.09 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); IR 2816, 1712, 1612, 1495, 1264, 1070. Rf 0.23 (ethyl acetate-hexane 2:1). Anal. (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·HCl·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N. 3-Methyl-7-[3-(4-phenyl-1-piperazinyl)propoxylcoumarin (1c). 43% yield; mp 129-31 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDC1_3)$   $\delta$  7.45 (d, 1H, H-4, J = 1.00), 7.34-7.23 (m, 3H, H-5, m-), 7.27 (m, 2H, m-), 6.98-6.81 (m, 5H, o-, p-, H-6, H-8), 4.12 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>O, J = 6.00), 3.36 (m, 4H, N(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.79 (m, 6H,  $(CH_2)_2NCH_2$ , 2.22 (m, 2H,  $CH_2CH_2CH_2$ ), 2.19 (d, 3H,  $CH_3$ , J = 1.00); IR 2819, 1708, 1621, 1500, 1261, 1070. Rf 0.17 (ethyl acetate-hexane 1:1). Anal. (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·HCl·H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N. 3,4-Dimethyl-7-[3-(4-phenyl-1-piperazinyl)propoxy]coumarin (1d), 62% yield; mp 139-41 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  7.50 ( d, 1H, H-5, J = 8.80), 7.28 (m, 2H, m-), 6.98-6.78 (m, 5H, o-, p-, H-6, H-8), 4.12 (t,
  - 3,4-Dimethyl-7-[3-(4-phenyl-1-piperazinyl)propoxy]coumarin (1d). 62% yield; mp 139-41 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.50 ( d, 1H, H-5, J = 8.80), 7.28 (m, 2H, m-), 6.98-6.78 (m, 5H, o-, p-, H-6, H-8), 4.12 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>O, J = 6.00), 3.38 (m, 4H, N(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.88 (m, 6H, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.37 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.23 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.19 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); IR 2776, 1699, 1499, 1236, 1089, 1048. Rf 0.30 (ethyl acetate-hexane 1:1). Anal. (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·HCl) C, H, N.
- 16. Hedberg, M. H.; Johanson, A. M.; Nordvall, G.; Yliniemelä, A.; Li, H. B.; Martin, A. R.; Hjort, S.; Unelius, L.; Sundel, S.; Hacksell, U. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 647.
- 17. Malmberg, A.; Jackson, D. M.; Eriksson, A.; Mohell, N. Mol. Pharmacol. 1993, 43, 749.
- 18. Liu, Y.; Yu, H.; Svensson, B. E.; Cortizo, L.; Lewander, T.; Hacksell, U. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 4221.
- 19. Oriales, A., Alonso-Cires, L.; Labeaga, L.; Corcóstegui, R. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 1273.